



## AC36 Jury Case 01

Initiator	AC36 Measurement Committee
Respondent	INEOS Team UK
Date	16 January 2021
Race #	Prada Cup RR1, Race 1 and Race 2

### Report from the Measurement Committee

The Rules Committee ('RC') has forwarded to the jury a Measurement Committee ('MC') report as required under RRSAC 78.5).

This report included:

- (i) the yacht representing INEOS Team UK raced in the Prada Cup RR1, Races 1 and 2, while not in compliance with the AC75 Class Rule;
- (ii) a summary of the non-compliance with the AC75 Class Rule;
- (iii) The statement:

*"Following consultation with the Regatta Director, the Measurement Committee has determined that this non-compliance with the AC75 Class Rule has not (or could not reasonably be expected to have) improved the performance of the yacht or has not (or could not reasonably be expected to have) had a significant effect on the outcome of a race."*

When forwarding the report to the jury, the RC stated: *"The Rules Committee have reviewed the report (attached herein) from the Measurement Committee and confirm that the report contains the correct interpretations of the AC75 Class Rule."*

### Jury Decision

Based on the MC report, the jury applies RRSAC 64.4(a)(ii). As this is the first AC75 Class Rule offence by INEOS Team UK in the PRADA Cup, INEOS Team UK is penalized US\$5,000.

The US\$5,000 penalty shall be paid to the Sir Peter Blake Trust (BLAKE) - blakenz.org. The jury is satisfied that a payment to the charity of NZD\$7,010.00 covers this penalty. Proof of this payment shall be sent to the jury by 1700 on 25 January 2021.

Miguel Allen, Brad Dellenbaugh, Craig Mitchell, Richard Slater, and Sofia Truchanowicz

**AC36 Umpire / Jury**



**Claim of Non-Compliance ('CNC')**  
**Report from the Measurement Committee**

<b>Competitor who lodged CNC</b>	Luna Rossa PRADA Pirelli ('LRPP')
<b>Competitor subject to CNC</b>	INEOS Team UK ('ITUK')
<b>Date / Time Lodged</b>	15 January 2021, 17:29

**Summary of Luna Rossa PRADA Pirelli CNC:**

LRPP provided photos showing intentional openings in the skins of the mainsail:



The claim is that these openings contravene AC75 Class Rule 18.1

**Opinion of INEOS Team UK**

ITUK believe that the detail does not constitute an opening because there is no material missing in a plane.

ITUK relied on definitions of openings detailed in Interpretation 8.

ITUK believe Interpretation 12 does not apply to this detail, citing that Interpretation 12 applies specifically to penetrations.

**Decision of Measurement Committee**

The committee determines that 15 January is the first day that ITUK raced with this configuration and the last race of 15 January terminated at 16:40:15. Therefore the lodgement of this CNC satisfies RRSAC 76.8(a)(i). The CNC specifies the item and ITUK was copied therefore the CNC satisfies RRSAC 76.8(a)(ii) and (iii).

The committee inspected the mainsail and determines that the feature is an intentional opening through the sail skin that is not covered or closed whilst racing. Therefore, this feature is in contravention to AC75 Class Rule 18.1. The committee has photographed the feature and has shared these photographs with the Rules Committee.

<b>Date / Time</b>	15 January 2021, 21:00
--------------------	------------------------

As required by RRSAC 78.6(b), the Measurement Committee has consulted with the Regatta Director and INEOS Team UK.



### RRSAC 78.2(b) Report

<b>TO:</b>	Rules Committee
<b>COPY:</b>	INEOS Team UK ('ITUK') Luna Rossa PRADA Pirelli ('LRPP')
<b>DATE / TIME</b>	15 January 2021, 21:00

As required by RRSAC 78.2(b), the Measurement Committee makes the following report:

<b>(i)</b>	The yacht representing INEOS Team UK ('ITUK') competed in the following races while not in compliance with the AC75 Class Rule:  Prada Cup RR1 Race 1 and Prada Cup RR1 Race 2
<b>(ii)</b>	The committee inspected the mainsail of ITUK and determines that the detail questioned in the CNC is an intentional opening through the sail skin that is not covered or closed whilst racing. Therefore, this detail is in contravention to AC75 Class Rule 18.1
<b>(iii)</b>	Following consultation with the Regatta Director, the Measurement Committee has determined that this non-compliance with the AC75 Class Rule has <u>not</u> (or could <u>not</u> reasonably be expected to have) improved the performance of the yacht or has <u>not</u> (or could <u>not</u> reasonably be expected to have) had a significant effect on the outcome of a race.